

Self-Similar Potentials and q -Coherent States

Takahiro Fukui

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, kyoto 606-01, Japan

(September 1993)

Abstract

The self-similar potentials is formulated in terms of the shape-invariance. Based on it, a coherent state associated with the shape-invariant potentials is calculated in case of the self-similar potentials. It is shown that it reduces to the q -deformed coherent state.

03.65.Fd, 03.65.Ge, 11.30.Na

arXiv:hep-th/9309121v1 22 Sep 1993

The term “coherent states” is applied to many objects today [1]. The ordinary coherent state, which is defined as an eigenstate of the boson annihilation operator, has a property of the non-orthogonality and the over-completeness in L^2 . It is closely related to the irreducible representation of the Heisenberg-Weyl group, and this property is generalized [2] to a wide class of continuous groups with square-integrable representation. Namely, the so-called generalized coherent states are family of vectors $U(g)|0\rangle$, where U is a continuous irreducible representation, g is an element of a group and $|0\rangle$ is a vector in the representation space. These coherent states also share in common the property of non-orthogonality and over-completeness.

Recently, a “coherent state” is proposed from a quite different point of view [3]. It is closely tied to the shape-invariance property of potentials. In quantum mechanical one-body problems, a lot of exactly solved potentials are known. For a large class of such potentials, it is shown that hamiltonians have a property of reparametrization invariance, which is today called the shape-invariance [4,5]. For such potentials we can obtain the eigenvalues and eigenstates by an algebraic way, using parameter-dependent “creation operators” and their shape-invariance condition. An associated coherent state is defined as an eigenstate of the “annihilation” operator introduced when the shape-invariance condition is represented as a commutation relation.

On the other hand, interesting solved potentials with a property of self-similarity are proposed by Shabat [6] and Spiridonov [7]. What is surprised is that they have the $su_q(1,1)$ dynamical symmetry [7,8]. These potentials are, therefore, one of the realization of q-deformed algebras in physics, and it is worth examining them furthermore in order to consider a role which the q-deformation plays in physics.

The purpose of this letter is to examine an associated coherent state introduced in [3] in case of the self-similar potentials. To achieve this, we first reformulate the self-similar potentials in terms of the shape-invariance, and next calculate the coherent state for these potentials.

Let us consider the following operators

$$D_n \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(W_n(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $W_n(x)$ is the so-called superpotential. These and their hermitian conjugates are considered as generalized creation and annihilation operators. We here introduce the relation

$$D_n D_n^\dagger = D_{n+1}^\dagger D_{n+1} + R_{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2)$$

where R_{n+1} is a constant independent of x . In terms of the superpotentials this relation is given by

$$W_n^2 + W_n' = W_{n+1}^2 - W_{n+1}' + 2R_{n+1} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (3)$$

where dash denotes the derivative with respect to x . This infinite chain of differential equations reduce to only one equation if we adopt an anzats

$$W_n(x) = W(x, a_n), \quad a_n \equiv \underbrace{f(f(\dots f(a_0)\dots))}_{n \text{ times}}, \quad (4)$$

where a_n is a parameter generated from a_0 by a function f . In fact, substituting this into Eq.(3), we can easily confirm the following statement: If the relation

$$W^2(x, a) + W'(x, a) = W^2(x, f(a)) - W'(x, f(a)) + 2R(f(a)) \quad (5)$$

is satisfied identically with respect to a , the set of equations (3) do not depend on n . Now let us denote $D_n \equiv D(a_n)$. Then we have the relation

$$D(a)D^\dagger(a) = D^\dagger(f(a))D(f(a)) + R(f(a)) \quad (6)$$

as the shape-invariance condition. Typical solutions known until now are as follows;

1. $f(a) = a - 1$, $W(x, a)$ consists of finite power series of a . In this case, we have six types of potentials classified by Infeld and Hull [4].
2. $f(a) = qa$, $W(x, a) = aW(ax)$. This corresponds to the self-similar potentials discovered by Shabat [6] and Spiridonov [7].

It should be noted here that this interpretation of the self-similar potentials makes it possible to apply an associated coherent state in [3] to them. We can consider other possibilities except for the ansatz (4) which is known as the simplest and the most typical shape-invariant potentials, for example, the case where superpotentials have many parameters $W_n = W(x, a_n, b_n, \dots)$ [9], or the case where there are many independent superpotentials $W_{2n} = W_1(x, a_n), W_{2n+1} = W_2(x, a_n)$ [8], and so on. See also [10] for the classification of various typical potentials and recently discovered ones.

For shape-invariant potentials we can calculate the eigenvalues in an algebraic way [4,5]. To see this, let us consider the following sequence of hamiltonians

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0 &\equiv D^\dagger(a_0)D(a_0) + R(a_0) \\
H_1 &\equiv D(a_0)D^\dagger(a_0) + R(a_0) = D^\dagger(a_1)D(a_1)R(a_0) + R(a_1) \\
&\vdots \\
H_{n+1} &\equiv D(a_n)D^\dagger(a_n) + \sum_{k=0}^n R(a_k) = D^\dagger(a_{n+1})D(a_{n+1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} R(a_k) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

For these hamiltonians we can see the following two properties provided that there exist normalized 0-eigenvalue states satisfying $D(a_n)|\psi_0(a_n)\rangle = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$): i) $D^\dagger(a_n)D(a_n)$ and $D(a_n)D^\dagger(a_n)$ are superpartners each other. Namely, they have the same eigenvalues except for the 0-eigenvalue of the former. ii) The lowest eigenvalue of H_{n+1} is $\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} R(a_k)$ since $D^\dagger(a_{n+1})D(a_{n+1})$ has a 0-eigenvalue. Combining these two properties, we can conclude that the n th eigenvalue of H_0 and the corresponding eigenstate are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n(a_0) &= \sum_{k=0}^n R(a_k), \\
|\psi_n(a_0)\rangle &\propto D^\dagger(a_0)D^\dagger(a_1) \cdots D^\dagger(a_{n-1})|\psi_0(a_n)\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Therefore, once we know the two important functions of a , i.e., $R(a)$ and $f(a)$, we can easily calculate eigenvalues and eigenstates.

Note that the shape-invariance condition (6) resembles that of the harmonic oscillator commutation relation. It needs, however, extra reparametrization operation. This is the

reason we need different creation operators in Eq.(8) as many as the bound states. To rewrite Eq.(6) as a commutation relation and to express the excited states in terms of the powers of a single creation operator, we introduce the operator T defined by

$$T|\phi(x, a)\rangle = |\phi(x, f(a))\rangle, \quad (9)$$

which denotes, namely, the reparametrization of the parameter a . Using this operator, we define

$$A_+(a) \equiv D^\dagger(a)T, \quad A_-(a) \equiv T^{-1}D(a). \quad (10)$$

Then we achieve the following expression of the shape-invariance condition

$$[A_+(a), A_-(a)] = R(a). \quad (11)$$

It should be noted that this commutation relation is not closed in general, i.e., $R(a)$ is not commutative with $A_\pm$ though it is a constant. It is, however, possible to construct a coherent state in the following way. Let us here assume that all $|\psi_0(a_n)\rangle$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) are normalizable eigenstates, i.e., H_0 has infinite number of bound states. Then after some calculation we get the expression of the normalized eigenstate of Eq.(8)

$$|\psi_n(a_0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n]_0!}} \{A_+(a_0)\}^n |\psi_0(a_0)\rangle, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [n]_k &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^n R(a_{k+i}), \quad \widehat{[n]}_k \equiv [n]_k T, \\ [n]_k! &\equiv \widehat{[n]}_k \widehat{[n-1]}_k \cdots \widehat{[1]}_k \cdot T^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The appearance of T in $\widehat{[n]}_k$ reflects the non-commutative character between $R(a)$ and $A_\pm(a)$.

Now let us define a “coherent state” associated with the commutation relation Eq.(11). Here coherent state means the eigenstate of the “annihilation” operator $A_-(a)$. For this purpose, we first define the generalized exponential function

$$\exp_k(x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[n]_k!} x^n, \quad (14)$$

using Eq.(13). Next, we define the state

$$\begin{aligned} |z, a_0\rangle &\equiv \exp_0\{zA_+(a_0)\}|\psi_0(a_0)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[n]_0!}} z^n |\psi_n(a_0)\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From the direct calculation, we can easily confirm the relation $A_-(a_0)|z, a_0\rangle = z|z, a_0\rangle$. For further details, including the property of the completeness, see [3].

Now we concretely calculate the coherent state (15) for the self-similar potentials. First, we must confirm that there really appears the q -oscillator algebra, since the shape-invariance condition (11) is here represented by the usual oscillator-like commutation relation. By substitution $f(a) = qa$ and $W(x, a) = aW(ax)$ into Eq.(5), we have the following difference-differential equation

$$W^2(x) + \frac{dW(x)}{dx} = q^2 W^2(qx) - q \frac{dW(qx)}{dx} + \frac{2R(f(a))}{a^2}. \quad (16)$$

As previously mentioned, this equation should be satisfied identically with respect to a . The last term should be, therefore, a constant, denoted here by $\gamma(q)(> 0)$:

$$R(a) = \frac{\gamma(q)}{2q^2} a^2. \quad (17)$$

Hereafter, we set $\gamma(q) = 2$ for simplicity. The commutation relation denoting the shape-invariance (11) is then given by

$$[A_-(a), A_+(a)] = a^2/q^2. \quad (18)$$

What is important is that it is not closed, i.e., it needs infinite number of generators to make it closed. For example, if we define $A_-(a) \cdot a^n, a^n \cdot A_+(a)$ and a^n , where n denotes integer, we have some closed relation. It is possible, however, to get a subalgebra as follows: Let us introduce modified A -operators

$$\begin{aligned} A_{q+}(a) &\equiv \frac{1}{a} A_+(a), \\ A_{q-}(a) &\equiv A_-(a) \frac{1}{a}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Then, the above commutataion relation is rewritten as

$$A_{q-}(a)A_{q+}(a) - q^2 A_{q+}(a)A_{q-}(a) = 1, \quad (20)$$

which is essentially equivalent to the one derived by Spiridonov [7]. This is quite natural since T operator acts like a dilation operator $T_q f(x) = f(qx)$ on $D_{q\pm} = (W(ax) \pm d/d(ax))/\sqrt{2}$, where $A_{q\pm} \equiv D_{q+}T, T^{-1}D_{q-}$.

Next, let us calculate $[n]_0$ and $[n]_0!$ in Eq.(13). By definition, we have $a_k = q^k a_0$ and therefore $R(a_k) = q^{2(k-1)} a_0^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [n]_0 &= [n]_q \cdot a_0^2, \\ [n]_0! &= [n]_q! \cdot a_0^2 a_1^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $[n]_q \equiv (1 - q^{2n})/(1 - q^2)$ is a q -deformed n and $[n]_q! \equiv [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$ is a q -deformed factorial.

Before we calculate the coherent state (15), note that we define this state based on the commutation relation (11). What is important here is that this relation is invariant under the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{A}_+(a) &= g(a)A_+(a), \\ \mathring{A}_-(a) &= A_-(a) \frac{1}{g(a)}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $g(a)$ is an arbitrary function of a . Using this property, we can immediately define a coherent state which is the eigenstate of A_-° as follows;

$$\mathring{A}_- |z, a_0\rangle^\circ = z |z, a_0\rangle^\circ \quad (23)$$

with

$$|z, a_0\rangle^\circ = \exp_0 \{ z \mathring{A}_+(a_0) \} |\psi_0(a_0)\rangle. \quad (24)$$

Now let us choose $g(a) = a$. Then we have

$$\mathring{A}_-(a) = A_{q-}(a), \quad \mathring{A}_+(a) = a^2 A_{q+}(a). \quad (25)$$

By the use of Eq.(21), the exponent in Eq.(24) is calculated as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}\exp_0\{z\mathring{A}_+(a_0)\} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[n]_q! \cdot a_0^2 a_1^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2} \{za_0^2 A_{q+}(a_0)\}^n \\ &= \exp_q\{zA_{q+}(a_0)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

where $\exp_q(x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n/[n]_q!$ is a q-deformed exponential function. Therefore, we conclude that a coherent state associated with the shape-invariance naturally leads to the q-coherent state [11] in case of the self-similar potentials. The convergence radius of this $\exp_q(x)$ depends on q, especially, in case of $|q| < 1$, it is finite. It is, however, possible to make it infinite if we construct a coherent state by choosing, for example, $g(a) = a^2$ in Eq.(22). The eigenvalue of $A_{q-}(a)$ for such a state is $(a/q)z$.

In summary, we have reformulated the self-similar potentials in terms of the shape-invariance and calculated an associated coherent state recently proposed in [3]. We have found that it naturally reduces to the q-coherent state related to the q-oscillator. Since the potentials of exactly solved many-body systems in one dimension [12] are quite similar to the shape-invariant potentials, it is interesting to generalize this idea to such many-body problems. Especially, the exchange operator formalism recently developed in [13] may have some connections with it.

The author would like to thank Dr. N. Aizawa for fruitful discussions. This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a review of coherent states, see, e.g., J. R. Klauder, in *Coherent States. Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics*, eds. J. R. Klauder and B. S. Skagerstam (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [2] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).
- [3] T. Fukui and N. Aizawa, to appear in Phys. Lett. **A**.
- [4] L. Infeld and T. E. Hull, Rev. Mod. Phys. **23**, 21 (1951).
- [5] L. E. Gendenstein, JETP Lett. **38** (1983) 356; L. E. Gendenstein and I. V. Krive, Sov. Phys. Usp. **28**, 645 (1985).
- [6] A. Shabat, Inver. Prob. **8**, 303 (1992).
- [7] V. Spiridonov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 398 (1992).
- [8] S. Skorik and V. Spiridonov, Lett. Math. Phys. **28**, 59 (1993).
- [9] F. Cooper, J. N. Ginocchio and A. Khare, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 2458 (1987).
- [10] G. Lévai, J. Phys. **A22**, 689 (1989); J. Phys. **A25**, L521 (1992).
- [11] L. C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. **A22**, L873 (1989); R. W. Gray and C. A. Nelson, J. Phys. **A23**, L945 (1990); A. J. Bracken, D. S. McAnally, R. B. Zhang and M. D. Gould, J. Phys. **A24**, 1379 (1991).
- [12] A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. **94**, 313 (1983), and references therein.
- [13] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 703 (1992); L. Brink, T. H. Hansson and M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Lett. **B286**, 109 (1992); L. Brink, T. H. Hansson, S. Konstein and M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. **B401**, 591 (1993).